

To request a Time Extension (TE) or Alternative Diversion Requirement (ADR), please complete and sign this form and return it to your Office of Local Assistance (OLA) representative at the address below, along with any additional information requested by OLA staff. When all documentation has been received, your OLA representative will work with you to prepare for your appearance before the Board. If you have any questions about this process, please call (916) 341-6199 to be connected to your OLA representative.


Mail completed documents to:

California Integrated Waste Management Board
Office of Local Assistance, (MS 25)
1001 I Street
PO Box 4025
Sacramento CA 95812-4025

General Instructions:

For a Time Extension complete Sections I, II, III-A, IV-A, and V.

For an Alternative Diversion Requirement complete Sections I, II, III-B, IV-B and V.

Section I: Jurisdiction Information and Certification			
All respondents must complete this section.			
I certify under penalty of perjury that the information in this document is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, and that I am authorized to make this certification on behalf of:			
Jurisdiction Name CITY OF EL PASO de ROBLES		County SAN LUIS OBISPO	
Authorized Signature 		Title DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS	
Type/Print Name of Person Signing JOSEPH DEAKIN	Date 08/31/01	Phone (805) 237-3861	
Person Completing This Form (please print or type) JOSEPH DEAKIN		Title DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS	
Phone (805)237-3861	E-mail Address jdeakin@prcity.com		Fax (805)237-6565
Mailing Address 1000 SPRING STREET	City PASO ROBLES	State CA	ZIP Code 93448



This cover sheet is to be completed for each Time Extension (TE) or Alternative Diversion Requirement (ADR) requested.

1. Eligibility

Has your jurisdiction filed its Source Reduction and Recycling Element, Household Hazardous Waste Element, and Nondisposal Facility Element with the Board (must have been filed by July 1, 1998 if you are requesting an ADR)?

☐ No. If no, stop; not eligible for a TE or ADR.

☒ Yes. If yes, then eligible for a TE or ADR.

2. Specific Request and Length of Request

Please specify the request desired.

☒ **Time Extension Request**

Specific years requested 2000, 2001, 2002

Is this a second request? ☒ No ☐ Yes Specific years requested.
(Note: Requests for an additional extension will need to address why the jurisdiction's efforts to meet the 50% goal by the end of the first extension were not successful.)

☐ **Alternative Diversion Requirement Request (Not allowed for Regional Agencies).**

Specific ADR requested %, for the years

Is this a second ADR request? ☐ No ☐ Yes Specific ADR requested %, for the years

(Note: Requests for an additional ADR will need to address why the jurisdiction's efforts to meet 50% by the end of the first ADR period were not successful.)

Note: Extensions may be requested anytime by a jurisdiction, but will only be effective in the years from January 1, 2000 to January 1, 2006. An original request for a TE/ADR may be granted for any period up to three years and subsequent requests for TE/ADR may extend the original request or be based on new circumstances but the total number of years for all requests cannot total more than five years or extend beyond January 1, 2006.



Section IIIA—TIME EXTENSION

Within this section, discuss your jurisdiction's progress in implementing diversion programs that were planned to achieve 50%. Provide any additional information that demonstrates "good faith effort." The CIWMB shall determine your jurisdiction's progress in demonstrating "good faith effort" towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be comprehensive and provide specific details regarding the jurisdiction's situation.

Attach additional sheets if necessary—please reference each response to the appropriate cell number (e.g., IIIA-1).

- 1. Why does your jurisdiction need more time to meet the 50% goal? Describe why SRRE selected programs did not achieve 50% diversion. Identify barriers to meeting the 50% goal and briefly indicate how they will be overcome.**

The City of Paso Robles has experienced rapid growth. The 2000 census indicates a 31% increase in population from 1990, with much of the growth concentrated within the last three years. A new waste generation study is necessary to accurately count all residential, commercial and industrial recycling within the community.

The City is presenting a year 2000 diversion rate of 30% based upon the current ability to account for and document generation and diversion data. The biggest obstacle documenting 50% diversion is establishing an accurate count of the efforts in source reduction, reuse, and recycling occurring within the City. A Waste Generation Study for the year 2001 is proposed to commence in the first quarter of 2002. The generation study will provide the opportunity for staff to research the avenues of recycling and reuse that are taking place in the community that are not presently reporting, or that provide reports that are not acceptable to the approving authority. The generation study will also give an accurate look at the current waste generation rate, which is currently calculated by applying the approved scale factors for population change. These factors provide a relationship of waste growth to population growth that are accurate for moderate changes in population, but likely have diminished accuracy as the magnitude of growth increases. In addition, the City implemented a successful Economic Growth initiative that has altered the typical ratio of industrial-commercial-residential uses, and this can be accurately portrayed by a generation study.

The City implemented the majority of Programs identified in the SRRE. An example of a project that could not be implemented is the proposed materials recovery facility for the northern San Luis Obispo County region. This program projected 6,609 tons of recyclable materials to be diverted from the City wastestream by 2000. No such facility has been constructed in the North County, as it was presumed to be built in conjunction with the City of Atascadero and the County of San Luis Obispo. Due to the shortfall in consortium based activities available to the City, programs such as the MRF will need to be redirected to the City dedicated recovery programs. The time needed to plan, approve via City Council, and implement new and expanded programs is factored into the request for time extension.



June 18-19, 2002

2. Why does your jurisdiction need the amount of time requested? Describe any relevant circumstances in the jurisdiction that contribute to the need for a Time Extension.

In late 1999, the City of El Paso de Robles did a limited diversion study that showed how complex our wastestream was and identified potential diversion opportunities that were not being fully utilized. To gain more information about our wastesream, and in preparation to join the San Luis Obispo County Integrated Waste Management Authority, we are currently conducting a generation based analysis that will lead to the establishment of a new base-year.

Anticipating the results of the Waste Generation Study showing additional program implementation is needed, the City is concurrently planning two new programs scheduled for implementation in 2002. These programs are the Multi-Unit Residential Recycling and Wood Waste Recycling programs. Current Commercial and Industrial recycling programs are also being assessed for expansion as well, but as was explained in the need to establish a revised generation, current industrial achievements are not well documented nor understood. To adequately support and encourage participation in the above programs, the City will provide facilitated support such as enhanced outreach and educational programs to target the appropriate sectors. If needed, the City will also enact policy and/or ordinances to restrict disposal, and thereby encourage diversion.

Planning, funding and implementing the above changes will take a substantial amount of time to achieve, and will be planned sequentially.

3. Describe your jurisdiction's Good Faith Efforts to implement the programs in its SRRE.

The programs listed in the SRRE assigned to the City for implementation are active and ongoing (except multi-family residential recycling). Planning for new and expanded programs, described in item 2 and the waste generation study to be performed for year 2001 demonstrate the City's commitment to achieving or exceeding the 50% goal.

4. Provide any additional relevant information that supports the request.

Section IV A—PLAN OF CORRECTION

A Plan of Correction is required by PRC Section 41820(a)(6)(B). The plan is fundamentally a description of the actions the jurisdiction will take to meet the 50% goal by the expiration of the Time Extension.

Attach additional sheets if necessary.

Residential %		33	Non-residential %		67	
PROGRAM TYPE Please use the Board's Program Types. The Program Glossary is online at: www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LGCentral/PARIS/Codes/Reduce.htm	NEW or EXPAND	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	FUNDING SOURCE	DATE FULLY COMPLETED	ESTIMATED PERCENT DIVERSION	
Wood waste program	Expand	Clean, source separated wood loads delivered to the landfill by franchise and other haulers will be ground into wood chips. Some of the materials will be delivered to a biomass facility for alternative fuel use and the remainder will be used as ADC or erosion control.	Tip fees	2002	5%	
Multi-unit recycling	Expand	Recycling bins and collection services will be offered to apartment complexes, mobil home parks and other group quarter residence.	User rates	2002	1%	
Commercial /industrial recycling	Expand	Current collection is limited in terms of bins and collection. Expansion would include purchase of containers sufficient to service all businesses. Additionally, cardboard collection will increase from three days to five days per week. Also, glass collection will increase from one day to two days per week.	Franchise hauler increase	2002	8%	
Residential curbside greenwaste	Expand	Greenwaste bins and automated collection services will be offered to all single-family residences.	User rates	2002	6%	
		Total Estimated Diversion Percent From New and/or Expanded Programs				20%
		Current Diversion Rate Percent From Latest Annual Report				30%
		Total Planned Diversion Percent Estimated				50%

PROGRAMS SUPPORTING DIVERSION ACTIVITIES

PROGRAM TYPE	NEW or EXPANDED	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	DATE FULLY COMPLETED
Local government bans/ordinances	New	If necessary, City will enact policies or ordinances to restrict disposal, and to encourage participation in the above programs.	2002

Education/outreach	Expand	Target local businesses and residents, utilizing local media, PowerPoint presentations, special activity booths and displays to educate and raise awareness to City's integrated approach to waste management strategies.	2002

